WARDALE ENGINEERING & ASSOCIATES

7D Reay Street Inverness IV2 3AL Great Britain CLASS 5AT 4-6-0: FUNDAMENTAL DESIGN CALCULATIONS

1. GENERAL CALCULATIONS.

1.3.F. PRELIMINARY BASIC CALCULATIONS (final version).

Notes.

- 1. These calculations are the original calculations [1.3.] with data from the FDC's substituted for the original figures (given here in brackets) where it is different. Any original material no longer valid is struck through and additional material is underlined (for ease of reference to FDC 1.3.).
- 2. The calculations refer to a specific performance level, defined by item nos. [1] and [2]. Any individual performance figures given in the calculations do not necessarily give the maximum which will be achieved.
- 3. The SI system is mostly used, with Imperial units given for some items for the convenience of those not familiar with SI units. Unless otherwise stated "ton" refers to metric ton of 1000 kg. $N*m^3 = m^3$ at NTP.
- 4. Numbers in square brackets [] in column 2 refer to calculation item numbers in the Fundamental Design Calculations (FDC's): firstly the number identifying the calculations concerned, followed by the item number within those calculations given in round brackets (), e.g. [1.1.(16)] refers to calculations 1.1. item no. (16). Only a single number given in square brackets refers to an item number within these calculations.
- 5. To save space, unit conversion factors for numerical consistency, where used, are <u>not</u> shown in the calculations. Any apparent small numerical discrepancies are due to giving data to limited places of decimals but to taking the full figure for any calculations involving that data.
- 6. References are shown in superscript square brackets [] and are given in full at the end of the calculations.
- 7. Fundamental data is in **bold** type.

| Item No. | Item | Unit | Amount |
|----------|--|------|--------|
| 1 | Maximum sustainable drawbar power at constant speed on level tangent | kW | 1 890 |
| | track, trailing a high capacity tender [Calculations 1.1. Fig. 1.1.1]: | hp | 2 535 |
| 2 | Speed at the above power: | km/h | 113 |
| | | mph | 71 |
| | | m/s | 31,4 |
| 3 | Equivalent drawbar tractive effort at [1] and [2] = [1] \div [2]: | kN | 60,2 |
| 4 | Maximum axle load (same as BR Class 5MT 4-6-0): | ton | 20,0 |
| 5 | Preliminary Estimate of Class 5AT axle loads, at full supplies: | | |
| | leading bogie (2 axles combined): (minimum value given: may be increased | ton | 20,0 |
| | if greater centring force required for lateral stability reasons) | | Í |
| | leading coupled axle: | ton | 20,0 |
| | driving axle: | ton | 20,0 |
| | trailing coupled axle: | ton | 20,0 |
| | tender axles (each of 4 axles in 2 bogies): | ton | 20,0 |
| 6 | Total mass of engine (full boiler): (BR Class 5MT = 77,2 tons) | ton | 80,0 |
| 7 | Total mass of tender, full supplies: | ton | 80,0 |
| | (Note: the large high-capacity tender is an operational requirement due to the | | |
| | absence of convenient watering facilities: heaviest former British tender = | | |
| | A4 type = 66 tons gross mass.) | | |
| 8 | Total mass of engine and tender, full supplies: | ton | 160,0 |
| 9 | Adhesive mass: | ton | 60,0 |
| 10 | Approximate gross: tare mass ratio for rectangular section tender of | | |
| | monocoque construction (c.f. best Bulleid tender = 2,67): | - | 3,0 |
| | Note: minimizing tender mass for a given quantity of supplies is of | | |
| | particular importance for high-speed operation, and it would be hoped to | | |
| | increase the gross: tare mass ratio beyond the conservative figure given. | | |
| 11 | Tender tare mass = $[7] \div [10]$: | ton | 26,7 |
| 12 | Total supplies (fuel + water) = $[7] - [11]$: | ton | 53,3 |
| | (highest former British figure (largest MN tender) = 32,3 tons) | | |
| 13 | Average supplies during operation as a fraction of total supplies, assumed: | - | 0,67 |
| 14 | Average tender supplies = [12] x [13]: | ton | 35,5 |

| Item No. | Item | Unit | Amount | |
|----------|--|--------|----------|--------------------|
| 15 | Average tender gross mass in service = $[11] + [14]$: | | ton | 62,2 |
| 16 | Average mass of locomotive in service = $[6] + [15]$: (note: further performance figures are calculated on the basis of this average mass). | | ton | 142,2 |
| 17 | Required sustainable cylinder (indicated) power at [2], from [FDC 1.1. 1.1.1)]: | (Fig. | kW hp | 2 380 3 192 |
| 18 | Cylinder (indicated) tractive effort at [2] and $[17] = [17] \div [2]$: | | kN | 75,8 |
| 19 | Maximum cylinder (indicated) power from [1.1. (Fig. 1.1.1)]: | | kW | 2 580 |
| | | | hp | 3 460 |
| 20 | Maximum indicated power per ton of engine mass = [19] ÷ [6]: (BR Class 5MT max. indicated power/ton of engine mass = 17,0 kW/to | on) | kW/tor | |
| 21 | Indicated power per ton of engine mass for other high power locos, for comparison: SAR 26 Class No. 3450 (peak of power curve): SNCF 240P Class: Therefore the Class 5AT figure is realistic, given its superior technolog | kW/tor | 28,7 | |
| 22 | Coupled wheel diameter (same as BR Class 5MT 4-6-0): | | mm | 1 880 |
| 22 | | | in | 74 |
| 23 | Coupled wheel rotational speed at $[2] = [2] \div (\pi \times [22])$: | | Hz | 5,3 |
| 24 | Indicated tractive effort per unit adhesive mass at [23] = [18] ÷ [9]: | | kN/ton | 1,3 |
| 25 | Max. indicated t. e. per unit adhesive mass at [23] for SAR 26 Class N | | | |
| | 3450: (>> Class 5AT figure, which is therefore considered to be realisticated as the second of the s | c). | kN/ton | |
| 26 | Nominal maximum continuous operating speed in mph is taken as the | | mph | 111 |
| | '1,5 x diameter' speed (AAR std. for motion design), = 1,5 x [22(inche | (s)] = | km/h | 178 |
| | This is rounded up to: | _ | km/h | 180 |
| 27 | The locomotive will be tested at 10% over the maximum operating spe | ed, | | 100 |
| | hence maximum design speed = 1,10 x [26]: | | km/h | 198 |
| | This is rounded up to: | | km/h | 200 |
| 20 | All relevant detail design work shall be based on this speed | mph | 125 | |
| 28 | Coupled wheel rotational speed at $[26] = [26] \div (\pi \times [22])$: | | Hz | 8,5 |
| 29 | Boiler pressure: (the given figure is the normal maximum working (ga | | | |
| | pressure: the boiler may be designed for and the safety valves set to a s | | kPa | 2 100 |
| | higher figure (2 130 kPa) for ease of keeping the working pressure in s | | psi | 305 |
| | without the safety valves lifting). (cf. A T & S Fe 2-10-4 b. p. = 310 ps | si) | | |
| 30 | Engine unit. The preferred choice of engine, considering <i>all</i> relevant | 1 | | |
| | parameters, is 2-cyl. simple. The calculations are made for a 2-cyl. sim | | | |
| | and show that the desired performance can be realized with this simple | | | |
| | type of engine, having perhaps a lower level of cylinder performance t | nan | | |
| | more complex and expensive multi-cylinder types. (Note: mass of | | | |
| | reciprocating parts per side not to exceed <u>approximately</u> 250 kg (<u>actual</u> | mass | | 2 |
| 21 | = 260 kg)) Hence no. of cylinders: | | / • | 2 200 / 21 5 |
| 31 | Piston stroke (made long for optimum cylinder efficiency): | mm | | 800 / 31,5 |
| 32 | Mean piston speed at $[2] = [23] \times [31] \times 2$: | | ft/min | 8,5 / 1 674 |
| 33 | Mean piston speed at [26] = [28] x [31] x 2: | m/s/ | ft/min | 13,5 / 2 666 |
| 34 | Various comparisons of mean piston speed: | п | | |
| | SAR 26 Class no. 3450 at speed for maximum indicated power (122 kg | m/h | | 10.2 |
| | by differentiating equation [1.1.(11)]: | | m/s | 10,2 |
| | NYC 'Niagara' 4-8-4 at 160 km/h: | | m/s | 11,5 |
| | N & W J Class 4-8-4 at reported maximum speed of 176 km/h: | | m/s | 14,2 |
| | LNER A4 Class at 202 km/h: | | m/s | 11,7 |
| | BR 9F Class at 144 km/h: | | m/s | 11,9 |
| | Given the 5AT's superior front end compared to these locomotives, the figures are considered to confirm the acceptability of items [32] & [33] | | | |
| 35 | Sample starting coefficients of adhesion for 2 cylinder and 4 cylinder | ŀ | | |
| 33 | (opposed crank) 6-coupled tender engines: | | | |
| | (opposed crank) 6-coupled tender engines: BR Standard Class 5MT: | | | 0.20 |
| | | | - | 0,20 |
| | All BR 2-cylinder standard classes (average value for seven classes): | | - | 0,21 |
| | Representative pre-nationalization British locos: | | - | 0,24 |
| | Representative German standard locos: Representative modern American-built locos: | | _ | 0,30 0,23 |
| | representative modern American-built locos. | | _ | 0,23 |

| Item No. | Item | Unit | Amount | |
|----------|---|----------|-------------------|--|
| 36 | From [35], a realistic starting coefficient of adhesion for the Class 5AT, all | | | |
| 27 | possible adhesion improvements being incorporated, is (see [49]): | - | 0,25 | |
| 37 | For a 2-cylinder engine, typical ratio of peak: mean <u>starting</u> tractive effort ^[23] = | - | 1,25 | |
| 38 | Peak coefficient of adhesion required to prevent 'quarter slip' = [36] x [37]: | - | 0,31 | |
| 39 | The maximum available starting coefficient of adhesion may be taken as | | | |
| | [Calculations 1.1. Fig. 1.1.2]: Dry rail: | | 0,34 | |
| | Wet rail: | _ | 0,34 | |
| | A figure for sanded wet rail is deduced as: ^[24] | _ | 0,375 | |
| | As the figures for dry rail and sanded wet rail are > [38] the Class 5AT's full | | -, | |
| | starting tractive effort should be useable with minimal slipping, provided | | | |
| | good (air) sanding is fitted. | | | |
| 40 | Nominal wheel rim tractive effort based on adhesion = [9] x [36]: | ton | 15,0 | |
| | | kN | 147 | |
| 41 | With the usual notation, the tractive effort for a 2-cyl. locomotive is: | | | |
| | T.E. = $(k \times P \times n \times (d^2 - d_1^2) \times s) \div (2 \times D)$ | | | |
| | T.E. = $[40] = 147 \text{ kN}$, $P = [29] = 2100 \text{ kPa}$, $P = [30] = 2$, | | | |
| 42 | s = [31] = 800 mm, D = [22] = 1 880 mm | | | |
| 42 | The factor k allows for less than 100% cut off being available and for frictional losses from the pistons to coupled wheels. For the Class 5AT (fully | | | |
| | roller bearing equipped and with state of the art tribological design and | | | |
| | lubrication) the starting transmission efficiency (= wheel rim work / | | | |
| | indicated cylinder work) is taken as: ^[1] | - | 0,93 | |
| 43 | The ratio of mean effective pressure (m.e.p.): boiler pressure at starting | | 0,20 | |
| | depends largely on the maximum cut-off. For easy starting of the 2-cyl. | | | |
| | Class 5AT this is made (cf. BR 5MT = 78%): | % | 75 | |
| 44 | At a cut off = [43] the ratio of m.e.p.: boiler pressure at starting is deduced | | | |
| | from SAR 25NC and 26 Class starting indicator diagrams made at 80% and | - | 0,90 | |
| | 65% cut off respectively: ^[2] | | | |
| 45 | Factor k in equation $[41] = [42] \times [44]$: | - | 0,84 | |
| 4.5 | (generally accepted value with 80% cut-off = 0,85) | | | |
| 46 | d_1 = piston rod and piston tail rod outside diameter: | mm | 90 | |
| 47 | (BR 5MT = 3½" = 88,9 mm) | | 452 | |
| 47 | Substituting known data into equation [41], cylinder diameter, d: This is rounded down to: | mm mm | 452 450 | |
| | This is founded down to. | in | 17,7 | |
| 48 | Based on [47] nominal wheel rim tractive effort from equation [41]: | kN | 146 | |
| 10 | (BR Class 5MT = 116 kN). See also item [169] | lbf | 32 830 | |
| 49 | Based on [48] nominal coefficient of adhesion = [48] \div [9]: | - | 0,248 | |
| 50 | Net piston face area (front and back) = $\pi/4$ x ($[47]^2 - [46]^2$): | m^2 | 0,153 | |
| 51 | Nominal maximum piston thrust, front and back = $[29]$ x $[50]$: | kN | 320,6 | |
| | (BR Class $5MT = 283.7 \text{ kN}$) | lbf | 72 098 | |
| 52 | Stroke : diameter ratio = $[31] \div [47]$: | - | 1,78 | |
| | ([52] is high for good cylinder efficiency, BR Class 5MT = 1,47) | | | |
| 53 | Starting indicated tractive effort = $[48] \div [42]$: | kN | 157 | |
| 54 | Ratio of indicated tractive effort at [1] and [2]: starting indicated tractive | | | |
| | effort = $[18] \div [53]$: | - | 0,48 | |
| 55 | Corresponding ratio at maximum power for SAR 26 Class No. 3450 at [23]: | | 0.1- | |
| | Actual figure from test data: | - | 0,63 | |
| | Estimated figure if 3450 had a maximum cut-off = [43]: | - | 0,59 | |
| 56 | As these figures are > [54] the Class 5AT figure is considered to be realistic. | | | |
| 56 | Approximate initial estimation of cut-off required at [17] and [2] is made by deduction from data on SAR 26 Class No. 3450. If maximum cut-off of | | | |
| | 3450 = [43] its starting indicated t. e. would have been approximately: | kN | 245,0 | |
| 57 | [54] x [56] = | kN | 117,6 | |
| 7/ | | 177 4 | 111,0 | |
| 57 58 | Speed of 3450 at [23] with coupled wheel tyre diameter = $[1.1. (3)]$: | km/h | 89,9 | |

| Item No. | Item | U | nit | Amount |
|----------|--|--------------|----------------|--|
| 60 | At [58] and [59] cut-off is: ^[3] | | % | 25 |
| | See item [74] for a more accurate assessment of the required cut-off on the | | | |
| | Class 5AT at [2] and [17]. | | | |
| 61 | m.e.p. at [17] and [2] = [17] \div ([50] x [31] x 2 x [30] x [23]): | k | Pa | 917 |
| 62 | [61] ÷ [29] = | | | 0,44 |
| 63 | Tentative Diameter of piston valves (2 valves per cylinder): | mm | | <u>175</u> (350) |
| | This dimension may depend on clearance with the moving structure | in | | <u>6,9</u> (13,8) |
| | gauge, and The requirement for minimum cylinder clearance volume | | ١. | (figs. in |
| | (item [67]) will probably necessitates the use of two piston valves per | | | ackets for 1 |
| | cylinder (as for the inside cylinder of the SNCF 242A-1 4-8-4), each 175 mm nominal diameter. | | Và | alve/cylinde r) |
| 64 | Tentative Piston valve steam lap: | mm / | in | 65 / 2,56 |
| 65 | Ratio of valve diameter x lap: cylinder diameter ² = $2 \times [63] \times [64] \div [47]^2$: | 111111 / | 111 | 0,112 |
| 0.5 | (cf.: value for SAR 26 Class No. $3450 = 0.046$ & for BR Class 5MT = 0.05 | 1) | - | 0,112 |
| | | | | |
| | Note from Wardale Oct 2006: This is just a measure of internal streamlining that is given here to show superiority over other designs and has no further | | | |
| | | | | 10 (10) |
| 66 | Tentative Piston valve exhaust lap: | | nm | <u>18</u> (10) |
| 67 | Target maximum cylinder clearance volume as % of piston swept volume: | | 0/ | (0) / (9) |
| | Single valves / double valves: Actual value, double valves: | | % ~ | (9) / (8) 10,6 |
| 68 | Indicated work done per piston stroke at [17] and [2] = [17] \div (4 x [23]): | | % kJ | 112,3 |
| 69 | Piston swept volume per cylinder end = $[31]$ x $[50]$: | | n ³ | 0,122 |
| 70 | Estimated boiler - steam chest pressure drop at [17] and [2]: | | Pa | 61 |
| 70 | (2,9% (4,8%) of rated boiler pressure) | K | 1 a | (100) |
| 71 | Estimated steam chest (gauge) pressure at [17], [2] and [29] = [29] – [70]: | k | Pa | 2 039 |
| 7.1 | Estimated steam enest (gaage) pressure at [17], [2] and [27] = [27] = [70]. | , | 1 u | $(2\ 000)$ |
| 72 | Estimated cylinder (gauge) back pressure at [17] and [2] ≈ | k | Pa | 50 |
| | Note from Wardale Oct 2006: Initially an estimate based on experience. It | | | |
| | was confirmed with acceptable accuracy in FDC 12 items [282] to [286]. If | | | |
| | fact pressure slightly <50kPa – i.e. error on safe side. | | | |
| 73 | Required inlet steam temperature at steam chest at [17] and [2]: | (| C C | 450 |
| 74 | From the estimated indicator diagram at [17] and [2] (see items [225] – | | | 450 |
| , . | [244] and Fig. 1.3.1.F.) the cut-off required to give work per stroke = [68] | ıt | | |
| | a speed = [2] is (\approx item [60]): | | % | <u>25,5</u> |
| | This is a good figure, well in the zone of high cylinder efficiency, and | | | (26) |
| | confirms the suitability of the cylinder dimensions for the required power | | | |
| | output at speed $= [2]$. | | | |
| 75 | Adiabatic steam flow to the cylinders per stroke (see items [249] – [253]): | kg | 0, | <u>201</u> (0,204) |
| 76 | Adiabatic heat drop of steam in cylinders = $[68] \div [75]$: | kJ/kg | _ | <u>559</u> (550) |
| 77 | Inlet steam enthalpy at [71] and [73] from h – s chart: | kJ/kg | | <u>355</u> (3 356) |
| 78 | Exhaust steam enthalpy = $[77] - [76]$: | kJ/kg | 2 | <u>796</u> (2 806) |
| 79 | Exhaust steam temperature at [72] and [78] from h – s chart: | °C | | <u>162</u> (167) |
| 80 | To allow for heat transfer to the cylinder walls during steam admission (i.e. | | | |
| | add the 'missing quantity') item [75] is increased by 5% to: |]] | κg | 0,211 |
| | The low value of the 'missing quantity' is a result of using all practical | | | (0,214) |
| | features to reduce it, such as very high superheat, long stroke: diameter | | | |
| | ratio, optimum cylinder insulation, high rotational speed at normal train speed, low clearance volume, special engine component design, etc. | | | |
| 81 | | cg/s | 1/ | 49 (4,55) |
| 01 | | kg/s kg/h | | 19 (4,33) <u>48</u> (16 393) |
| | | b/h | | 06 (36 146) |
| | | g/h | | <u>00</u> (16 400) |
| 82 | Actual specific work done by steam in cylinders = $[68] \div [80]$: | | /kg | <u>532</u> (525) |
| 83 | Isentropic heat drop from [71] and [73] to [72] from h – s chart : | | /kg | <u>655</u> (650) |
| 84 | Cylinder isentropic efficiency at [2] and [74] = [82] ÷ [83]: | | % | 81 |
| | This is not the maximum figure, which will occur at shorter cut-off than ite | m | | |
| | | | | |

| | [74]. (cf. BR 8P Class 4-6-2 No. 71000 = 86% at minimum s.s.c.) | | | |
|----------|--|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 85 | Indicated s.s.c. (based on cylinder steam flow) at $[17]$, $[2]$ & $[74]$ = $1/[3]$ | 82]: | kg/MJ | 1,88 |
| | This very low figure for such a high power is a consequence of the high- | | J | (1,90) |
| | efficiency front end and high superheat (cf. minimum indicated s.s.c. are | e: 1 | lb/hp-h | <u>11,1</u> |
| | BR 8P Class 3-cyl. simple 4-6-2 No. 71000 = 12,2 lb/hp-h, SNCF 141P | | | (11,2) |
| | Class 4-cyl. compound 2-8-2 = 11,2 lb/hp-h). | | | |
| Item No. | Item | | Unit | Amount |
| 86 | Leakage steam upstream of the cylinders: experience with SAR 26 Class | s no. | 1 /1 | 01 (00) |
| 07 | $3450^{[4]}$ gives total leakage past the piston valve rings = 0,5% of [81]: | 1 . /1. | kg/h | <u>81 (82)</u> |
| 87 | Superheated steam flow = [81] + [86]: | kg/h | 16 28 | <u>1</u> (16 482) |
| 88 | In addition to the cylinder steam, steam is (typically) required for some/stable fallowing when the learnesting is under power (* shows that or house) | | | |
| | the following when the locomotive is under power (* shows that exhaus steam from these auxiliaries may be piped back to the tender tank, totall: | | | |
| | $\approx 40\%$ of the total normal auxiliary steam consumption with oil firing): | ing | | |
| | (a) Air compressor* (for brakes, air sanding, air-controlled auxiliaries) of | or | | |
| | vacuum brake ejector. | | | |
| | (b) Mechanical stoker motor* and distributing jets (coal firing) or oil | | | |
| | heating* (if required) and atomising (oil firing). | | | |
| | (c) Boiler feed pump (s) .* | | | |
| | (d) Turbo generator(s).* | | | |
| | (e) Cylinder oil heating and (optional) atomising. | | | |
| | (f) Cab heating (probably not required for 5AT under UK conditions).* | | | |
| | (g) Steam heating of coaching stock. | | | |
| | (h) Whistle. (+ blower and drifting steam when not under power) For the purpose of these calculations it is assumed the locomotive is fire | d | | |
| | with gas oil (no oil heating required) and works electrically heated/air co | | | |
| | stock: the sum of this auxiliary steam as a percentage of [87] is taken as: | | % | 4 |
| 89 | Auxiliary steam at [1] and [2] = $[87]$ x $[88]$: | | kg/h | 651 (659) |
| 90 | Total steam generated by the boiler at $[1]$ and $[2] = [87] + [89]$: | kg/h | | 2 (17 141) |
| | (See item [99] for equivalent evaporation.) | lb/h | | <u>5</u> (37 796) |
| | This is rounded up to: | kg/h | | <u>0</u> (17 150) |
| 91 | The saturated/superheated fractions of the auxiliary steam will be decide | | | |
| | the detail design stage. For the present calculation purposes all auxiliary | | | |
| | steam is assumed to be dry saturated at pressure = [29] (see [11.1.(228)] | | | • 664 |
| 0.2 | enthalpy is: | | kJ/kg | 2 801 |
| 92 | Equivalent enthalpy of superheated steam leaving superheater ≈ [77]: | kJ/l | $xg \mid 33$ | <u>555</u> (3 356) |
| 93 | Saturation temperature corresponding to [72] (note: exhaust steam still h | nas | 90 | 110 |
| 0.4 | some superheat, see item [79]): | | °C | 112 |
| 94 | Feedwater temperature at inlet to boiler, after preheating in a surface type | | | |
| | exhaust steam feedwater heater (with average (small) fouling deposits of surfaces): | u II.t. | C | 105 |
| | Note from Wardale Oct 2006: Initial estimate from experience but of co | | | 105 110,5 with |
| | it's later calculated in FDC 9 (and air heater temp in FDC 10). | , ui se | | elean h.t. |
| | and an incase temp in 1 De 10). | | | surfaces) |
| 95 | Feedwater enthalpy at [94]: kJ/kg 440 (≈ 4 | 63 with a | | surfaces) |
| 96 | Total heat transferred to the steam leaving the boiler at [1] and [2] | 777111 | | |
| | $= [87] \times ([92] - [95]) + [89] \times ([91] - [95])$: | GJ/h | 49. | <u>0</u> (49,6) |
| 97 | Heat given to cylinder steam by fuel = [77] – [95]: | kJ/kg | | 5 (2 916) |
| 98 | Cylinder thermal efficiency based on $[97] = [82] \div [97]$: | % | | 3 (18,0) |
| 99 | Equivalent evaporation at [1] and [2] = [96] ÷ 2 256,7 kJ/kg: | kg/h | | 1 (21 980) |
| | Note from Wardale Oct 2006: 2256.7 = the enthalpy of vaporization | | | |
| | (water – steam) from steam tables at 100°C and 101.325 kPa pressure. | | | |
| | "Equivalent evaporation" refers to these conditions and is a means to | | | |
| | relate all vaporizations to constant conditions for comparison purposes | | | |
| | – i.e. to cancel out different boiler pressures and different superheat | | | |
| | temperatures. | | | |
| 100 | The combustion air is to be preheated by exhaust steam: required air | | 0.0 | 400 |
| 10: | temperature ≈ (see item [186]): | . 14 | °C | 100 |
| 101 | Probable boiler absorption efficiency at [90] without combustion air pre | -heating ^t | ^{9]} : % | 80 |

| 121 | (steam assumed to leave heat exchanger as saturated water, $h = 467 \text{ kJ/kg}$) Temperature rise of the air passing through the heater = [100] – [120]: | deg. C | 85 |
|------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| | $m_s \times ([78] - 467kJ/kg) = m_a \times \Delta h_a$: assume average ambient air temperature = | °C | 15 |
| 120 | Heat balance for the combustion air preheater is: | | (|
| 119 | Fraction of cylinder exhaust steam going to feedwater heater = $[118] \div [81]$: | % | 15,8 (16,0) |
| 110 | Substituting known data into equation [115]: $m_s \times ([78] - [115]) = [90] \times ([95](463 \text{ kJ/kg}) - [117])$ from which $m_s =$ | kg/h | 2 566 (2 611) |
| 117 118 | Tender water enthalpy at [116]: Substituting known data into equation [115]: | | 88,4 (83,9) 2 566 |
| 117 | create a 'hot well'.) | 1cI/lca | 99 4 (92 0) |
| | (This temperature will may be higher if the tender tank is partitioned to | | (20) |
| | by the condensate and auxiliary exhausts fed back to the tender) \approx | °C | <u>21</u> |
| 116 | Average tender water temperature, assumed (with an allowance for warming | | |
| | [m = mass flow rate; Δh = enthalpy change; s = steam; w = water.] | | |
| | pressure = [72] $\underline{\text{and}} \approx 75 {}^{\circ}\text{C}$, condensate enthalpy \approx | | (467) |
| | $m_s \; x \; \Delta h_s = m_w \; x \; \Delta h_w$: presuming steam leaves heater as saturated water at | kJ/kg | <u>314</u> |
| 115 | The feedwater heater heat balance is, with the usual notation: | | |
| | of 17,0 indicated kW per ton of engine weight, gave 6,8%. | | |
| | drawbar thermal efficiency of 7,7%, and the BR Class 5MT, at its maximum | | |
| | locomotives in former times, the BR Class 7MT 4-6-2's at maximum evaporation, generating 17,3 indicated kW per ton of engine weight, gave a | | |
| | itself. By comparison with the best level achieved with simple expansion | | |
| | [20] and trailing a large tender of the same nominal weight as the engine | | |
| | This is a very high figure when generating a specific power as high as item | | (11,1) |
| | power = $[1] \div [107]$: | % | <u>11,4</u> |
| 114 | Overall thermal efficiency of locomotive referred to maximum drawbar | | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ |
| | (Maximum figure for SAR 26 Class No. $3450 = 13,1\%^{[6]}$) | , , | (13,9) |
| 113 | maximum drawbar power = $[17] \div [107]$: | % | 14,3 |
| 113 | Overall thermal efficiency of locomotive referred to the indicated output at | | (201) |
| 112 | Atomising steam flow = $[110]$ x $[111]$: Note: this is 43% of the estimated auxiliary steam production item [89]. | kg/h | (287) |
| 112 | Assuming average of two figures, it is: | | 0,2 |
| | Claimed figure for 'Sonvico' system: | " | 0,1 |
| | From Kempe's Engineers Year-book, 1985, p. F2-35: | kg/kg | 0,3 |
| | atomising steam required per unit of fuel fired: | | |
| 111 | Assuming burner is of the high pressure atomising type, (superheated) | | |
| 110 | | | 400 (1 434) |
| | diesel fuel / gas oil the most practical fuel, of lower calorific value ^[28] : | kcal/kg | 10 240 |
| 103 | preferred (see item [192] etc. for coal firing). Its ready availability makes | MJ/kg | 42,9 |
| 109 | Fuel: for various technical, practical and environmental reasons, oil firing is | | |
| | This high efficiency at such a high boiler load is primarily due to the high combustion efficiency possible with modern oil firing technology. | | |
| 108 | Boiler efficiency at $[90] = [96] \div [107] = [102] \times [106]$: | % | <u>82</u> (81) |
| 107 | Heat in fuel fired = [103] ÷ [106]: | | <u>59,8</u> (61,5) |
| 107 | claimed for the Swiss 'Sonvico' system.) | GT. | 70.0 (61.7) |
| | (99,5% at relatively low value of heat release rate per unit firebox volume is | | |
| 106 | Combustion efficiency with "state of the art" oil firing: | % | 95 |
| Item No. | Item | Unit | Amount |
| | From Chapelon ^[25] it is deduced that a high oil burning rate = 15 GJ/m^3 -h. | | |
| | SAR 3450 at maximum measured firing rate = 12.6 GJ/m^3 -h | | |
| | LMR Class 2 2-6-0 at maximum evaporation $\approx 9.4 \text{ GJ/m}^3$ -h | | (12,2) |
| 103 | cf. BR Class 5MT at maximum evaporation $\approx 9.4 \text{ GJ/m}^3$ -h | O3/111 -11 | (12,2) |
| 104 105 | Firebox volume (same as BR Class 5MT for the purpose of this calculation): Heat release rate per unit firebox volume = $[103] \div [104]$: | $\frac{\text{m}^3}{\text{GJ/m}^3-\text{h}}$ | 4,8 11,8 |
| 103 | Heat release rate in firebox at [1] and [2] = [96] ÷ [102]: | GJ/h m ³ | <u>56,8</u> (58,4) |
| 102 | increased to (see item [186]): | % | 86,3 (85) |
| | economiser at front of boiler barrel) boiler absorption efficiency may be | | 06.2 (0.5) |
| | bundle/superheater design, good insulation, preheater Chapelon-type | | |
| 102 | Due to item [100] and other factors (low excess air, optimum tube | | |
| | | | |

| 122 | Specific heat at constant pressure (c_p) for air = kJ | /kg deg.K | 1,005 |
|----------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| 123 | $\Delta h_a = [121] \times [122]$: | kJ/kg | 85,4 |
| 124 | Stoichiometric air:fuel ratio by weight, diesel fuel/gas oil (see item [255]): | kg/kg | 14,5 : 1 |
| 125 | Excess air coefficient at [90] and [110], assumed: | - | 1,3 |
| | Note: this is a 'safe' value, and the combustion equipment must be designed | | , - |
| | to allow adequately complete combustion with the minimum of excess air. | | |
| 126 | Combustion air supply, based on fuel fired = [110] x [124] x [125]: kg/ | /h <u>26 39</u> | <u>00</u> (27 031) |
| 127 | Substituting known data into equation [120]: | kg/h | <u>968</u> |
| | $m_s \times ([78] - 467kJ/kg) = [126] \times [123]$ from which $m_s =$ | | (987) |
| 128 | Fraction of cylinder exhaust steam to combustion air preheater = $[127] \div [81]$ | | 6,0 |
| 129 | Total exhaust steam to the feedwater & combustion air heaters = [118] + [127]: | kg/h | 3 534 (3 598) |
| Item No. | Item | Unit | Amount |
| 130 | Total exhaust steam to the feedwater & combustion air heaters as a fraction | % | 21,8 |
| | of the cylinder steam flow = $[129] \div [81] = [119] + [128]$: | , , | (22,0) |
| 131 | % of cylinder steam flow going to blast nozzles = 100- [130]: | % | 78,2 (78,0) |
| 132 | Steam to blast nozzles = $[81] - [129] = [81] \times [131]$: kg/ | | 66 (12 802) |
| 133 | Ratio of combustion gas flow: blast nozzle steam flow | kg/kg | <u>2,22 : 1</u> |
| 134 | = ([110] + [112] + [126]) ÷ [132]: Total condensate piped to the tender from feedwater heater and auxiliaries as | % | (2,25 : 1) <u>16,6</u> |
| 134 | a fraction of the total evaporation $\approx ([118] + 0.4 \times [89]) \div [90]$: | 70 | (16,8) |
| 135 | For every unit of tender water evaporated in the boiler, the amount of raw | % | 83,4 |
| 133 | water is (100 – [134]): | 70 | (83,2) |
| 136 | Split of supplies: item [12] can be split into fuel and water in any ratio to | 1 | |
| | suit operating conditions, but generally it is now at least as easy to take oil | | |
| | fuel as to take water. In UK steam times, maximum coal supply for the | Imp. ton | 10 |
| | longest duties was (for LMR and BR Standard Class 8 4-6-2's): | m. ton | 10,2 |
| 137 | Typical lcv of good former British locomotive coal ≈ | MJ/kg | 32 |
| 138 | Tender energy capacity = [136] x [137]: | GJ | 326 |
| 139 | Corresponding fuel supply of Class $5AT = [138] \div [109]$: | ton | 7,6 |
| 1.10 | This is rounded down to: | ton | 7 |
| 140 | Autonomy at [1] and [2] based on fuel capacity = $[139] \div [110]$: | h | <u>5,0</u> (4,88) |
| 141 | Range at [1] and [2] based on fuel capacity = [2] x [140]: This is well beyond the distance that the loco would be expected to cover at | km | <u>565</u> (552) |
| | constant maximum drawbar power without refuelling, therefore giving a | mile | 353 353 |
| | high fuel capacity safety margin for the expected duty (see also item [151]). | mine | (345) |
| 142 | | ton or m ³ | 46,3 |
| 143 | Autonomy at [1] and [2] based on water capacity = $[142] \div ([90] \times [135])$: | | 3,27 (3,24) |
| 144 | Range at [1] and [2] based on water capacity = [2] \times [143]: | km | 369 |
| | This is well beyond the distance that the loco. would be expected to cover at | | (367) |
| | constant maximum drawbar power, so that in practice the range between | mile | <u>231</u> |
| | water replenishments would normally be greater than as given (an exception | | (230) |
| | is if long periods of high power were required at lower speed going upgrade). | | |
| 145 | Increase in range based on water capacity due to returning auxiliary exhausts | % | 19,9 (20,2) |
| 116 | & condensate from feedwater heater to tender = ((100 ÷ [135]) – 1): | | (20,2) |
| 146 | Representative load factor (defined as ratio of (distance) average cylinder power: full rated cylinder power) in normal service \approx | _ | 0,5 |
| 147 | Specific fuel and water consumptions will be fairly flat functions of power | 1 | , |
| | under typical charter train operating conditions, except for relatively high | | |
| | values during periods of acceleration. Fuel and water consumption rates at | | |
| | load factor = [146], as fractions of the full load consumptions, are therefore | | |
| | conservatively estimated as: | - | 0,6 |
| 148 | Under representative average service conditions, autonomy based on fuel | h | 8,33 (8.13) |
| 140 | capacity = [140] ÷ [147]: | h | (8,13) |
| 149 | Under representative average service conditions, autonomy based on water capacity = [143] ÷ [147]: | h | 5,45 5,40 |
| 150 | With a maximum operating speed = [26] the average train speed can | km/h | 113 |
| | conservatively be assumed = [2]: | mph | 71 |
| - | • | | |

| 151 | Under representative average service conditions, range based on fuel capacity = [148] x [150]: | say 94 | (919) 0 (920) 590 (575) |
|--------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|
| 152 | Under representative average service conditions, range based on water capacity = [149] x [150]: If extra range is required, a simple water tank car could be added behind the tender and/or part of any support vehicle (if required for providing electrical power for train heating or air con. etc.) could be fitted with an auxiliary water tank. | | 615 (610) 385 (380) |
| 153 | Relative density of diesel fuel / gas oil = | - | 0,83 |
| 154 | Volume of tender fuel tank (for gas oil) = [139] ÷ [153]: | m^3 | 8,4 |
| 155 | Approximate cross sectional area of tender fuel tank: | m ² | 1,4 |
| Item No. | Item | Unit | Amount |
| 156 | Approximate length of tender fuel tank = $[154] \div [155]$: | m | 6,0 |
| 157 | Approximate volume of tender water tank well section between bogies: | m ³ | 5,5 |
| 158 | Approximate cross sectional area of tender water tank, excluding well section | on: m ² | 4,8 |
| 159 | Approximate length of tender water tank = ([142] – [157]) ÷ [158]: To allow for volume occupied by internal tank bulkheads, etc., this is | m | 8,5 |
| 160 | increased to: Approximate overall length of engine and tender over buffers: | m | 9,0 |
| 100 | (cf. LNER A1 Class = 22,2 m, LMS 'Coronation' Class = 22,5 m, LMS 'Princess Royal' Class = 22,7 m) | m ft | 22,1 72,5 |
| 161 | Ratio of length of engine : length of tender (engine length same as for BR Class 5MT): | - | 1,26 : 1 |
| 162 | Approximate overall wheelbase of engine and tender: | m ft | 18,9 62,0 |
| 163 | Summary of design maximum axle loads (static, excluding any dynamic augment, and based on 20 ton total leading bogie load): (a) per axle: (b) per metre of engine rigid wheelbase: (c) per metre of total wheelbase (engine and tender): (d) per metre of total length over buffers: | ton ton/m ton/m ton/m | 20,0 12,7 8,5 |
| 164 | According to Koffman ^[7] the specific starting resistance on level tangent track for roller bearing stock is: | kg/ton N/ton | 7,2 7 69 |
| 165 | Applying this to the average tender mass in service gives starting resistance of tender = $[15] \times [164]$: | | 4,3 |
| 166 | Specific starting resistance of engine will be greater than [164] on account of more machinery to set in motion: it is taken as: | | 100 |
| 167 | Starting resistance of engine = [6] x [166]: | kN | 8,0 |
| 168 | Total starting resistance of engine and tender = $[165] + [167]$: | kN | 12,3 |
| 169 | Starting drawbar tractive effort on level tangent track = $[48] - [168]$: This is rounded up to: | kN kN lbf | 133,7 134 30 132 |
| 170 | Starting drawbar efficiency (= e. db. t.e. ÷ wheel rim t.e.) = [169] ÷ [48]: This is rather low for a roller bearing equipped locomotive, probably partly because item [166] may be less than assumed, but also reflecting the large tender mass for the locomotive's nominal tractive effort. | % | 92 |
| <u>Items [171]</u> | applementary calculations to check the assumed boiler absorption efficiency item [102] is taken a lare however reworked for the sake of completeness: for Fig. 1.3.2. refer to complete the sake of completeness. | as [11.3.(779) |]. Items |
| 171 | Boiler absorption efficiency = (heat transferred to water/steam in boiler and superheater ÷ heat released in firebox). | | |
| 172 | Heat transferred through heat transfer surfaces = (heat transferred to water/steam in boiler and superheater + boiler radiation loss). The radiation loss from a boiler with average quality of insulation as a % of the energy in the fuel burnt at full load (boiler stress $\approx 100 \text{ kg/m}^2$ -h for the boilers concerned) ^[8] \approx | | 3 |
| 173 | For a heavily insulated modest-size boiler at very high boiler stress | 70 | 3 |
| 1/3 | 1 of a nearity insulated modest-size botter at very light botter sitess | | |

| | ($\approx 113 \text{ (112) kg/m}^2$ -h at [90] assuming for the purpose of these calculations | | |
|----------|--|---|----------------------|
| | the same total evaporative heating surface area as the BR 5MT (153,3 m ²)) | | |
| | assume this is reduced to: | % | 2 |
| 174 | Heat lost by radiation \approx [103] x [173]: | GJ/h | <u>1,14</u> (1,2) |
| 175 | Heat transferred through boiler and superheater heat transfer surfaces = | GJ/h | 50,14 |
| | $([96] + [174]) = (([102] \times [103]) + [174]):$ | | (50,8) |
| 176 | $[175] = \{ \text{heat entering the firebox} + \text{heat generated by combustion} - \text{heat lo} \}$ | st in smokel | oox gases} |
| 177 | Heat entering the firebox = $\{\text{heat in combustion air} + \text{heat in atomizing}\}$ | | |
| | steam + heat in fuel}. The last is negligible and is ignored (this gives a | | |
| | conservative (safe) result to these calculations). From combustion gas | kcal/N*m ³ | |
| 178 | enthalpy-temperature (h-t) chart [Fig. 1.3.2.] enthalpy of air at [100]: | kJ/kg GJ/h | 100 2,64 (2,72) |
| Item No. | Heat in combustion air = [126] x [177]: | Unit | Amount |
| 179 | Item For purposes of this check atomizing steam is assumed to be superheated at | Unit | Amount |
| 179 | temperature = [73] and its enthalpy is taken as [77]. Heat in atomizing steam | n GJ/h | 0,94 |
| | is then $[77] \times [112] =$ | 03/11 | (0,96) |
| 180 | From equation [176] heat lost in smokebox gases | GJ/h | 10,24 |
| | = ([178] + [179]) + [103] – [175] = | | (11,28) |
| 181 | | g/h 28 0 | 70 (28 752) |
| 182 | Smokebox gas enthalpy = $[180] \div [181]$: | kJ/kg | <u>365</u> (392) |
| 183 | Smokebox gas density at [125] ≈ | kg/N*n | n ³ 1,3 |
| 184 | Smokebox gas enthalpy = [182] x [183]: | kJ/N*m ³ | <u>475</u> (510) |
| | | kcal/N*m ³ | <u>114</u> (122) |
| 185 | From combustion gas enthalpy-temperature chart [Fig. 1.3.2.] at [184], [109 |] °C | <u>335</u> |
| | and [267], temperature of gases leaving the boiler tube bundle is: | | (357) |
| 106 | [185] agrees with the calculated figure [11.3.(777)]. | 70191 : 1 | 00 100 |
| 186 | For SAR loco No. 3450 smokebox gas temperature at steam temperature = [| | °C 405 |
| | This is significantly > [185], which however should be possible with a steam temperature = [73] by careful design of the superheater. If so, the boiler absorber | | |
| | efficiency estimate, item [102], is shown to be acceptable by items [175], [1] | | |
| | [180] & [185]. However the importance of optimising all items affecting box | | |
| | absorption efficiency is indicated (especially the combustion equipment, to | | |
| | excess air, item [125] being considered a maximum figure at full boiler load | | |
| | also the need for a combustion air supply temperature of at least 100 °C, iter | | |
| 187 | If no air preheater were fitted and combustion air entered at 15 °C (item | kcal/N*m | |
| 100 | [120]) enthalpy of combustion air entering firebox (from Fig. 1.3.2.) = | kJ/kg | 16,2 |
| 188 | Heat in combustion air = $[126] \times [187]$: | | 0,43 (0,44) |
| 189 | Heat lost in smokebox gases = ([188] + [179]) + [103] - [175] = | GJ/h | <u>8,0</u> (9,0) |
| 190 | Smokebox gas enthalpy = $[189] \div [181]$: | kJ/kg kcal/N*m ³ | 286 (313) 89 (97) |
| 191 | From combustion gas enthalpy-temperature chart [Fig.1.3.2.] at [190], [109] | | <u>263</u> |
| 171 | and [267], temperature of gases leaving the boiler tube bundle would be: | | (283) |
| | This is considered unrealistic for a steam temperature = [73], therefore the | | (200) |
| | necessity of a combustion air preheater is confirmed. | | |
| | Supplementary calculations for coal firing (GPCS = Gas Producer Combus | stion System | 1) |
| 192 | L.C.V. of locomotive coal now available, assumed: | MJ/kg | 30 |
| 193 | Coal fully burned ≈ [103] ÷ [192] (note: is approximate as some constituents | s kg/h | <u>1 893</u> |
| | of coal burn preferentially to others): | | (1 947) |
| 194 | Ash content of coal of L.C.V. = [192] ≈ | % | 8 |
| 195 | Coal gasified during combustion = [193] x (1-[194]): | | <u>742</u> (1 791) |
| 196 | Firegrate area (here assumed same as BR Class 5MT, although a larger | \int_{2}^{∞} | 28,7 |
| 107 | (longer) grate will be fitted if possible): | $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{m^2}{1}$ | 2,67 |
| 197 | Specific burning rate = $[193] \div [196]$: | kg/m ² -h | <u>709</u> (729) |
| 198 | Maximum sustained specific burning rate, SAR 26 Class No. 3450 ^[10] ≈ | $\frac{\text{kg/m}^2\text{-h}}{1 + (-1)^2 + 1}$ | 638 |
| 199 | Burning rate at the apparent grate limit, SAR 26 Class No. 3450 ^[11] ≈ | kg/m ² -h | 830 |
| 200 | [198] < [197] < [199]. The burning rate at [90] with coal firing would | | |
| | therefore be near the absolute maximum possible with loco. No. 3450, | | |
| | however the better GPCS conditions on the Class 5AT, allowing a higher | | |

| | 2dy/1ry air ratio, favour the possibility of high specific combustion rates. | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|---------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| 201 | Stoichiometric air : fuel ratio by weight for co | | | | | | | ks | g/kg | 10 |
| 202 | Combustion air flow = [193] x [201] x [125]: | 01 | | | L±7 | <u></u> | | | g/h | 24 609 |
| | (c.f. item [126] for oil firing) | | | | | | | | 0 | $(25\ 311)$ |
| 203 | For the following analysis four levels of prima | ary ai | r flo | w ar | e coi | iside | ed: | | • | |
| | (1) 30% of total combustion air as primary a | | | | | | | | | |
| | (2) 40% of total combustion air as primary a | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | (3) 50% of total combustion air as primary a | | | | | | | | | |
| 20.4 | (4) 100% of total combustion air as primary a | | rres | | | o 'cla | | | | 100 |
| 204 | Primary air as a % of total combustion air: | % | 1_ | | 30 | - | 40 | - | 50 205 | 100 |
| 205 | Primary air flow = [202] x [204]: | kg/ | n | | 3 <u>83</u> 593) | _ | 0 844 0 124) | | 305 655) | (25 311) |
| Item No. | Item | | | (/. | 173) | (1 | 0 124) | | U nit | Amount |
| (204) | Primary air as a % of total combustion air: | | (| % | 3 | 0 | 40 | | 50 | 100 |
| 206 | (Average) clinker control steam / kg of 1ry air | r ^[12] : | | /kg | 0, | | 0,12 | | 0,12 | - |
| 207 | Clinker control steam flow = [205] x [206]: | | | g/h | | 36 | 1 181 | _ | 477 | 0 |
| | | | • | 5 | (9) | | (1215) | | 519) | |
| 208 | 1ry air + clinker control steam | kg/ | h | 8 2 | 269 | 1 | 1 025 | 13 | 782 | 24 609 |
| | = [205]+[207]: | | | _ \ | 504) | (1 | 1 339) | (14 | 174) | (25 311) |
| 209 | Specific primary air + clinker control steam | kg/ | m ² -1 | | 3 09 | | 4 129 | | 162 | <u>9 217</u> |
| | flow through firebed = $[208] \div [196]$: | (3 185) (4 247) | | | | | 309) | (9 480) | | |
| 210 | At [209] combustion efficiency (deduced from | n[13]) = | × | % | | (83) | <u>77</u> (* | | <u>68</u> (66 | |
| 211 | % free gas flow area through firebed ≈ | | | | | |) | 25 | 40 | |
| | (In a truly packed bed, % free gas flow area < 10% ^[14] | | | | | | | | | |
| | but the figures here allow for progressive 'unp | | | | | | | | | |
| 212 | the firebed which occurs as the air flow rate in | | | C | 0(| 00 | 1.000 | \ | 200 | 1 400 |
| 212 | Combustion gas temperature at firebed top ^[15] | | | 3/kg | 90 | ,3 | 3,6 | ' 1 | 4,2 | 1 400 |
| 213 | Comb. gas spec. vol. at [212] (taken = that of Combustion gas velocity at top of firebed | air) | | /kg n/s | | , <u>5</u> | 20,6 | - | 4,2 24,1 | 30,1 |
| 214 | = [209] x [213] ÷ [211]: | | 11 | 1/8 | $\frac{10}{19}$ | | (21,2) | - | 24, <u>1</u> 24,8) | (30,9) |
| 215 | Size of coal particles which will be carried of | f | n | | | 6 5,0 | | | 6,6 | 10,0 |
| | firebed at $[214]^{[16]} \approx$ | | | | (4 | | (5,3) | (| (7,1) | (10,7) |
| 216 | Particle mass \propto (linear dimension) ³ . Therefor | e | | | | <u>128</u> | | | <u> 295</u> | <u>1 025</u> |
| | mass of coal particles carried off firebed as % | | | | (| 325) | (1 110) | | | |
| | that for 30% 1ry air = $([215] \div 4.6 (4.8))^3 \times 100\%$: | | | | | | | | | |
| 217 | This analysis is approximate and assumes eve | | | | | | | | | |
| | increase the size of coal particles carried off | | | | | | | | | |
| | which can be lifted off the firebed as the 1ry in combustion efficiency ([210]) (judicious of | | | | | | | | | |
| | return escaping particles to the fire). Item [21 | | _ | | | | • | | | |
| | needed for combustion efficiency with coa | | | | | | | | | |
| | 'Classical' 100% 1ry air combustion will be | | | | | | | | | |
| | limit would prevent it from attaining the requ | iired b | ourn | ing 1 | ate. | Give | n the de | eep fir | ebox o | f the Class |
| | 5AT - ideal for the GPCS - near-optimum of | | | | | | | | | |
| | kind of (high volatile) coal, so the analysis is | | | | | | | | | |
| 210 | primary air, giving 84% (83%) combustion ef | ncien | суа | it the | DO1 | er's i | naxımu | | _ | |
| 218 219 | Specific firing rate = [197] ÷ [210]: Firing rate = [218] x [196]: | | | | | | | kg/m | | 844 (878) 55 (2.345) |
| 219 | Tring rate | | | | | | | kg/h ton/h | | 55 (2 345) 25 (2,35) |
| 220 | Allowable sustained hand firing rate for a sing | gle fir | ema | n in | UK ^[] | 7]. | | | o/h | 3 000 |
| | [219] is <u>66%</u> (72%) higher than [220], therefore | | | | | | S | | g/h | 1 360 |
| | obligatory for obtaining full rated boiler output | | | | | | | | _ | - |
| 221 | To give same range as with oil firing, bunker | | ity = | = [14 | 0] x | [219] | : | t | on | 11,25 |
| | This is 61% (64%) > item [139] and would re | | | | | | | | | (11,5) |
| | approximately 9% (10%) for a total supplies v | | | | | | | | | |
| | coal fuel a closer relationship between the ran | | | | | ind o | n water | | | |
| 222 | supplies than is the case with oil firing may be | e adva | ınta | geou | S. | | | 1 | -/1c | 100 |
| 222 | Mechanical stoker steam jet consumption ≈ | | | | | | | K | g/h | 100 |

| 222 | Total compustion are flow through the hoiler types - | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 223 | Total combustion gas flow through the boiler tubes = [195] + [202] + [207] + [222] (c.f. item [181] for oil firing): kg | g/h 27.3 | <u>837</u> (28 113) |
| 224 | Summary. With coal as fuel the rated boiler output item [90] should be re | | |
| 224 | combustion efficiency than assumed for oil firing, compare items [106] and | | |
| | consumption will slightly reduce the operating range for a given total qua | | |
| | combustion efficiency of 84% (83%) (item [210]) the char carry-over will | | |
| | require a self-cleaning and spark –arresting smokebox. However the com | | |
| | rise by more than it does with oil firing as steam demand decreases, the | | |
| | consumption at part load to that at full load may be better than for oil firing | | |
| | under average service conditions the difference in performance between t | he two fue | els would be |
| | expected to be less than indicated by these calculations. Better performance | | |
| | also be possible if coal of higher calorific value than given in item [192] can | | |
| Item No. | Item | Unit | Amount |
| | mentary calculations for obtaining the estimated indicator diagram at [2] | and [17], I | Fig. 1.3.1. |
| 225 | Known data is; | 1.D. | 020 (2.000) |
| | Steam chest pressure (assumed constant during cycle) (item [71]): | | 2 039 (2 000) |
| | Exhaust steam pressure (item [72]): Piston swept volume, each end of cylinder (item [69]): | kPa m ³ | 50 0,122 |
| | Cylinder clearance volume, assuming twin piston valves (item [67]): | % | 0,122 10,6 (8) |
| 226 | Indicated work per piston stroke (item [68]) = | kJ | 112,3 |
| 227 | The following data required for drawing the estimated indicator diagram is | KJ | 112,3 |
| 22, | deduced from indicator diagrams made on SAR 26 Class locomotive No. | | |
| | 3450. The speed of this locomotive at coupled wheel rotational speed = | | |
| | [23] is 89,9 km/h (item [58]) and the nearest diagram to this speed and a | | |
| | cut-off = 25% item [60] is at 84 km/h and 28% cut-off $^{[18]}$. For this | | |
| | diagram, ΔP at point of cut-off, as a % of the peak cylinder pressure, is: | % | 16 |
| 228 | ΔP at point of cut-off is dependent on factors such as the mean inlet port | | |
| | opening relative to the cylinder volume, cylinder wall effects, and | | |
| | particularly the speed of valve closure, which are more optimal on the | | |
| | 5AT. Therefore ΔP for the 5AT is taken as: | % | 12 |
| 220 | Note: the 5AT peak cylinder pressure is assumed = steam chest pressure | 1.0 | 704 (1.760) |
| 229 | Cylinder pressure at cut-off = $[71] \times (1 - [228])$: | kPa <u>1</u> | 794 (1 760) |
| 230 | From the 3450 diagrams, peak cylinder pressure is generally reached after dead centre. For the diagram concerned, the piston position at peak | | |
| | pressure as a % of the stroke, ΔS , is: | % | 7 |
| 231 | Due to various beneficial factors on the 5AT (e.g. longer lead, lower | 70 | / |
| 231 | clearance volume and reduced wall effects) ΔS is taken as: | % | 2 |
| 232 | For 3450, the maximum pressure reached at dead centre as a % of the peak | ,,, | _ |
| | cylinder pressure (ideally 100%) is: | % | 64 |
| 233 | Due to the various beneficial factors on the 5AT given in item [231], | | |
| | [232] is conservatively increased to: | % | 80 |
| 234 | Maximum pressure at dead centre = [71] x [233]: | kPa <u>1</u> | 631 (1 600) |
| 235 | Caprotti gives the index of expansion as 1,2 ^[19] and Porta as 'smaller than ac | diabatic'[1, | ,3] ^[20] . |
| | However due to the high superheat [73] and all cylinder design factors aimed | | |
| | expansion will be close to isentropic and may be assumed to follow the curr | | |
| | is absolute pressure. This is confirmed by expansion lines of high-speed dia | grams take | en on 3450. |
| 236 | For the 3450 diagram, % of the piston stroke at which pressure departs | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 227 | from the expansion line at the start of release ≈ | % | 84 |
| 237 | Due to longer exhaust lap, [236] is increased for the 5AT to: | % | 85 |
| | (Actual release at 25% cut-off = 80,4%, but point of inflexure in pressure line will be later in stroke.) | | |
| 238 | | | |
| 238 | For the 3450 diagram, gauge pressure at the end of the stroke as a % of the gauge back pressure: | % | 200 |
| 239 | [238] is retained for the 5AT: pressure at end of stroke = [72] x [238]: | kPa | 100 |
| 240 | For the 3450 diagram, % of the return stroke at which pressure falls to the | κια | 100 |
| 210 | back pressure line (assumed same for the 5AT): | % | 7 |
| 241 | For the 3450 diagram, % of the return stroke at the apparent compression | 1 | - |
| | point, i.e. the point at which the valve commences to close to exhaust and | | |
| | where the exhaust pressure starts to rise above the back pressure line: | % | 76 |
| | | • | |

| 242 | | exhaust lap [241 ession at 25% co | | | | to: | | % | <u>60</u> (75) |
|------------|---|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 243 | The compressi | on is effectively | isentropic | [19][20] i.e. | $pv^{1.3} = k$. Poi | nt [242 | l does | not defii | ne the true |
| 2.3 | The compression is effectively isentropic, $^{[19][20]}$ i.e. $pv^{1.3} = k$. Point [242] does not define the true start of the compression line $^{[21]}$ but is assumed to do so for the purposes of these calculations (a | | | | | | | | |
| | | ion as it reduces | | | o so for the pur | Poses | 1 111000 | | 10115 (W |
| 244 | | gives all data fo | | | ed indicator di | agram | | | |
| | | cut-off. Diagram | | | | | | | |
| | | off, item [60], ui | | | | | | | |
| | | [226]. This dia | | | | | | | |
| | | t-off for a cylin | | | | | | % | 25,5 (26) |
| 245 | | 1.F. the gauge co | | | | | | | |
| | valve opens to | lead steam \approx | - | • | | | | kPa | <u>940</u> (800) |
| Item No. | | | Item | | | | | Unit | Amount |
| 246 | Assuming isen | tropic compress | ion from t | ne back pre | essure line at [7 | 72] and | | | |
| | [79], the temperature of the compressed steam at [245], from $h - s$ chart: | | | | | | | °C | <u>410</u> (395) |
| 247 | [246] < [73], b | ut in practice th | ere will be | some heat | transfer from | the cyli | nder w | valls to th | ne exhaust |
| | | the temperature | | | | | | | ature at |
| | the start of con | npression = 190 | °C (205°C |) or more, | the temperatur | re at [24 | 45] ≥ [| 73]. | |
| 248 | The indicated m.e.p. at [17] and [2] = [68] \div ([31] x [50]): | | | | | | | kPa | 917 |
| | Supplement | ary calculation | s for obta | ining the c | ylinder steam | flow, i | item [ˈː | 75]. | |
| 249 | The method of | Porta is used ^[22] | . In the dia | gram Fig. | 1.3.1.F. the va | riable i | nlet | | |
| | pressure is sub | stituted by an ed | quivalent n | nean inlet p | ressure giving | equal | | kPa | <u>1 920</u> |
| | | equating the hat | | | | | | | (1 880) |
| 250 | At [73] and [24 | 49] the steam sp | ecific volu | me (from s | steam tables) is | s: | | m ³ /kg | 0,162 |
| | | | | | | | | | (0,166) |
| 251 | Volume at poin | nt (A) Fig. 1.3.1 | .F.: | | | | m^3 | 0,0083 | 5 (0,0056) |
| 252 | Volume at poin | nt (B) Fig. 1.3.1 | .F.: | | | | m^3 | 0,041 | 1 (0,0395) |
| 253 | Mass of steam | admitted per str | oke = ([25] | 2] – [251]) |) ÷ [250]: | <u>.</u> | | kg | 0,201 |
| | This is the adiabatic quantity, i.e. assuming zero heat transfer to the cylinder | | | | | | der | | (0,204) |
| | walls. This heat transfer results in a reduction in admission steam | | | | | | | | |
| | temperature and specific volume and hence in a larger amount of steam | | | | | | | | |
| | being admitted (i.e. the so-called 'missing quantity'), and this is allowed for | | | | | for | | | |
| | by item [80]. | | | | | | | | |
| | | tary combustio | | | | | | | |
| 254 | | uses the method | | | | | | | |
| | of fuel burnt is made, for diesel fuel / gas oil. The following is per 100 kg of oil and is for | | | | | | | | |
| | | ly and excludes | | | | | | | |
| 255 | Constituent | kg per 100 | | = kmol | | | The | oretical a | air |
| | | kg of oil ^[27] | weight | | required | | | | |
| | Carbon | 86,3 | 12 | 7,19 | 7,19 | = 10, | | | 50,0 kmol |
| | Hydrogen | 13,2 | 2 | 6,60 | 3,30 | | | $0 \times 28,9$ | |
| | Sulphur | 0,5 | 32 | 0,02 | 0,02 | = | | kg air / l | _ |
| 256 | A . 1 | 1/1001 | 1 [105] | | $\Sigma = 10,51$ | 1 | | tem [124 | |
| 256 | |) kmol/100 kg o | | | | | | 0 kg oil | 65 51.25 |
| 257 | | $\frac{\text{on air} = [256] \text{ x}}{\text{on air}}$ | | 0.573 | | | | 0 kg oil | 51,35 |
| 258 | | combustion air | | 25/]: | | kr | nol/10 | 0 kg oil | 13,65 |
| 259 | | f combustion (fl | | | | . | 1/1 0 | 0.1 '1 | 7.10 |
| | | | | | | | | 0 kg oil | 7,19 |
| | | (ii) SO_2 (from [255] column 5) = kmol | | | | | 1101/10 | | 0,02 |
| | (ii) SO ₂ (from | | | | | 1 | 201/10 | $0 \text{ lea } \sim 1$ | 3,14 |
| | (ii) SO_2 (from (iii) $O_2 = [258]$ | 8] - [255] Σ colu | | | | | nol/10 | | |
| | (ii) SO_2 (from (iii) $O_2 = [258]$ (iv) N_2 (item | 8] - [255] Σ colu [257]) = | mn 6 = | | | kr | nol/10 | 0 kg oil | 51,35 |
| 260 | (ii) SO_2 (from (iii) $O_2 = [258]$ (iv) N_2 (item (v) H_2O (from (v) | 8] - [255] Σ colu [257]) = vm [255] column | mn 6 = | | | kr kr | nol/10 nol/10 | 0 kg oil 0 kg oil | 51,35 6,60 |
| 260 | (ii) SO_2 (from (iii) $O_2 = [258]$ (iv) N_2 (item (v) H_2O (from Total of item [2] | 8] - [255] Σ colu [257]) = om [255] column [259] = | mn 6 = | _ [250] | 2601. | kr kr | nol/10 nol/10 | 0 kg oil | 51,35 |
| 260 261 | (ii) SO_2 (from the following section (iii) $O_2 = [258]$ (iv) N_2 (item to fix the following section (iv) H_2O (from the following section) G | 8] - [255] Σ colu [257]) = vm [255] column | mn 6 = | = [259] ÷ [| [260]: | kr kr | nol/10 nol/10 nol/10 | 0 kg oil 0 kg oil 0 kg oil | 51,35 6,60 68,30 |
| | (ii) SO_2 (from the following section of the following section) (iv) SO_2 (from the following section) (iv) SO_2 (from the following section) (iv) SO_2 (| 8] - [255] Σ colu [257]) = om [255] column [259] = | mn 6 = | = [259] ÷ [| 260]: | kr kr | mol/10 mol/10 mol/10 | 0 kg oil 0 kg oil 0 kg oil | 51,35 6,60 68,30 |
| | (ii) SO_2 (from (iii) $O_2 = [258]$ (iv) N_2 (item (v) H_2O (from Total of item [2] Combustion gas (i) $CO_2 =$ (ii) $SO_2 =$ | 8] - [255] Σ colu [257]) = om [255] column [259] = | mn 6 = | = [259] ÷ [| 260]: | kr kr | mol/10 mol/10 mol/10 % | 0 kg oil 0 kg oil 0 kg oil | 51,35 6,60 68,30 10,53 0,03 |
| | (ii) SO_2 (from (iii) $O_2 = [258]$ (iv) N_2 (item (v) H_2O (from Total of item [2] Combustion gas (i) $CO_2 =$ (ii) $SO_2 =$ (iii) $O_2 =$ | 8] - [255] Σ colu [257]) = om [255] column [259] = | mn 6 = | = [259] ÷ [| [260]: | kr kr | mol/10 mol/10 mol/10 % | 0 kg oil 0 kg oil 0 kg oil | 51,35 6,60 68,30 10,53 0,03 4,60 |
| | (ii) SO_2 (from (iii) $O_2 = [258]$ (iv) N_2 (item (v) H_2O (from Total of item [2] Combustion gas (i) $CO_2 =$ (ii) $SO_2 =$ | 8] - [255] Σ colu [257]) = om [255] column [259] = | mn 6 = | = [259] ÷ [| [260]: | kr kr | mol/10 mol/10 mol/10 % | 0 kg oil 0 kg oil 0 kg oil | 51,35 6,60 68,30 10,53 0,03 |

| 262 | A4 | | |
|----------|--|-----------------|---------------|
| 262 | At excess air = 30% (item [125]), carbon in 100 kmol of dry flue | | |
| | gas = $(100 \div [260]) \times (86,3 \div 12) =$ | kmol | 10,53 |
| 263 | Carbon per 100 kg of oil (from [255] column 5) = | kmol | 7,19 |
| 264 | Flue gas produced per 100 kg of oil = $100 \text{ x} ([263] \div [262]) =$ | | |
| | [260] = | kmol/100 kg oil | 68,3 |
| 265 | Composition of [264] (final numbers in equations are molecular | | |
| | weights): | | |
| | (i) $CO_2 = [264] \times [261](i) \times 44 = [259](i) \times 44 =$ | kg/100 kg oil | 316,4 |
| | (ii) SO ₂ = [264] x [261](ii) x 64 = [259](ii) x 64 = | kg/100 kg oil | 1,0 |
| | (iii) $O_2 = [264] \times [261](iii) \times 32 = [259](iii) \times 32 =$ | kg/100 kg oil | 100,5 |
| | (iv) $N_2 = [264] \times [261]$ (iv) $\times 28 = [259]$ (iv) $\times 28 =$ | kg/100 kg oil | 1 437,8 |
| | (v) $H_2O = [264] \times [261](v) \times 18 = [259](v) \times 18 =$ | kg/100 kg oil | 118,8 |
| Item No. | Item | Unit | Amount |
| 266 | Total of item [265] = | kg/100 kg oil | 1 974,5 |
| 267 | Fraction of CO_2 in combustion gas = [265](i) \div [266]: | % | 16,0 |
| | (for use in Fig. 1.3.2.) | | |
| 268 | Total combustion gas flow, including atomizer steam = | | |
| | $(([266] \div 100) \times [110]) + [112] =$ | kg/h | <u>27 923</u> |
| | This gives good agreement with item [181] (within 0,5%). | | $(28\ 601)$ |
| 269 | Conclusion. The final figures are very close to, and almost always better than, the original | | |
| | estimates. The FDC's are therefore partly based on marginally more stringent data than necessary, | | |
| | but any difference is nevertheless generally within the order of accuracy of the FDC's themselves. | | |

D. Wardale Inverness 2004-11-13

References

- 1. Wardale D., *The Red Devil and Other Tales from the Age of Steam*, published by the author, Inverness, 1998: page 457 Table 78.
- 2. *Ibid.* pages 254 & 264.
- 3. *Ibid.* page 268, Fig. 83 (extrapolated).
- 4. *Ibid.* page 321.
- 5. *Ibid.* page 294, Fig. 109 & page 457, Table 78.
- 6. *Ibid.* page 277.
- 7. Quayle J. P., Editor, *Kempe's Engineers Year-Book*, 90th Edition, Morgan-Grampian Book Publishing Co. Ltd., London, 1985: page J3/5.
- 8. Wardale D., *The Red Devil and Other Tales from the Age of Steam*, published by the author, Inverness, 1998: page 80, Fig. 21 & page 295, Fig. 110.
- 9. Ibid. page 310, Fig. 131 (best characteristic).
- 10. Ibid. derived from Fig. 109, page 294.
- 11. *Ibid.* derived from Figs. 108 & 109, page 294 and Fig. 111, page 296.
- 12. Ibid. page 287.
- 13. Ibid. deduced from Fig. 27, page 88.
- 14. Ibid. page 302.
- 15. Ibid. page 89.
- 16. Ibid. page 82, Fig. 24.
- 17. Ibid. page 498.
- 18. Ibid. page 259, Fig. 75.
- 19. Phillipson E. A., *Steam Locomotive Design: Data and Formulae*, The Locomotive Publishing Co. Ltd., London, 1936, page 52.
- 20. Porta. L. D., Fundamentals of the Porta Compounding System for Steam Locomotives (unpublished), page 32.
- 21. *Ibid.* page 9-7, Fig. 7.
- 22. Ibid. pages 9-1 & 9-1a, Fig. 1.
- 23. McArd G. W., Problems of Locomotive Torque, The Railway Gazette, February 27, 1953.
- 24. Wardale D., *The Red Devil and Other Tales from the Age of Steam*, published by the author, Inverness, 1998: page 336, Fig. 141.

- 25. Chapelon A., *La Locomotive a Vapeur*, English edition, translated by Carpenter, G. W., Camden Miniature Steam Services, Bath, 2000, page 90.
- 26. Quayle J. P., Editor, *Kempe's Engineers Year-Book*, 90th Edition, Morgan-Grampian Book Publishing Co. Ltd., London, 1985: pages F2/47-48.
- $27.\ \textit{Ibid.}$ page F2/22, Table 20 (average of diesel fuel and gas oil).
- 28. *Ibid.* page F2/22, Table 20.