# WARDALE ENGINEERING \& ASSOCIATES 7D Reay Street Inverness IV2 3AL Great Britain 

## CLASS 5AT 4-6-0: FUNDAMENTAL DESIGN CALCULATIONS

## 1. GENERAL CALCULATIONS.

### 1.2. DETERMINATION OF THE TARGET LOAD - SPEED - GRADIENT CURVES.

 Notes.1. The SI system is mostly used. Unless otherwise stated "ton" refers to metric ton of 1000 kg .
2. Numbers in square brackets [ ] in column 2 refer to calculation item numbers in the Fundamental Design Calculations (FDC's): firstly the number identifying the calculations concerned, followed by the item number within those calculations, given in round brackets (), e.g. [1.3.(16)] refers to calculations 1.3. item no. (16). Where only a single number is given within square brackets, it refers to an item number within these calculations.
3. To save space, unit conversion factors for numerical consistency, where used, are not shown in the calculations. Any apparent small numerical discrepancies are due to giving data to limited places of decimals but to taking the full figure for any calculations involving that data.
4. References are shown in superscript square brackets ${ }^{[]}$and are given in full at the end of the calculations.
5. Fundamental data is in bold type.

| Item No. | Item |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unit |  | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Calculation of the gradients and the speeds they can be climbed at by the 5AT 4-6-0 hauling various loads involves equating the locomotive's tractive effort with the train's resistance. Note that of all the resistances only rolling resistance and gradient resistance apply. Constant speed means the acceleration resistance due to inertia is zero, it is assumed that gradients are compensated for curvature so that the combined (curve + grade) resistance on curves $=$ the grade resistance on tangent track, and still air is assumed, i.e. natural wind resistance $=$ zero. Also, if the drawbar tractive effort is taken the rolling resistance of the locomotive does not figure in the computation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | The coaching stock, rolling resistance is taken from the equation given by Koffman for BR coaches ${ }^{[1]}$ : $\mathrm{r}=1,1+0,021 \mathrm{v}+0,000175 \mathrm{v}^{2}$ : where r is in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ton}, \mathrm{v}$ is in $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$. (Note: as given in ref. [1] the second term is $0,21 \mathrm{v}$ which must be an error.) <br> Reworking this equation it is: $r=10,8+0,206 \mathrm{v}+0,00171 \mathrm{v}^{2}$ : where r is in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ton}, \mathrm{v}$ is in $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | The locomotive's maximum drawbar tractive effort at constant speed on level tangent track is used: this is taken from Calculations 1.1. Fig. 1.1.1 which smooths out slight irregularities in the original data [1.1.(31)]. Up to the locomotive's maximum continuous operating speed [1.3.(26)] it is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Speed | km/h | 30 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 100 | 120 | 140 | 160 | 180 |
| 5 | Max. d.b. t.e. at constant speed on level tangent track | kN | 113,4 | 103,5 | 88,7 | 76,8 | 66,1 | 55,5 | 45,0 | 34,8 | 24,9 |
| 6 | Specific rolling resistance of coaches from eq.[2] | N/ton | 18,52 | 21,78 | 29,32 | 38,22 | 48,50 | 60,14 | 73,16 | 87,54 | 103,3 |
| 7 | The calculation method is the same for any train load, hence the computation is made here for one trailing load only, i.e. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ton | 400 |
| 8 | Rolling resistance of coaches $=[6] \times[7]:$ | kN | 7,4 | 8,7 | 11,7 | 15,3 | 19,4 | 24,1 | 29,3 | 35,0 | 41,3 |
| 9 | T.E. available to overcome gradient resistance = [5]-[8]: | kN | 106,0 | 94,8 | 77,0 | 61,5 | 46,7 | 31,4 | 15,7 | -0,2 | -16,4 |
| 10 | The negative values of [9] at $160 \& 180 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ mean the locomotive would be unable to haul the given load at these constant speeds on level track. However the value for $160 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \approx 0$, suggesting this as approximately the level track balancing speed with a 400 ton load. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Total train mass $=[1.3 .(16)]+[7]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ton | 542,2 |
| 12 | The available tractive effort item [9] is applied to overcome the gradient resistance of the entire train. The gradient at which the load can be hauled is given by $[9] \div[11]$, specifically: Gradient, $\%_{0}=$ tractive effort available to overcome grade resistance, $\mathrm{kgf} \div$ total train mass, tons. It is: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Gradient | \% | 19,9 | 17,8 | 14,5 | 11,6 | 8,8 | 5,9 | 3,0 | 0 | - |


| Item No. | Item | Unit | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | The resultant speed-gradient curve for a 400 ton load, together with curves for other loads calculated by <br> the same method (altered load in items [7] and [11]), are drawn in Fig. 1.2.1. |  |  |
| 15 | Mass of a fully laden BR Mark II second class coach $\approx$ | ton | 37 |
| 16 | $[15]$ is used to give the approximate number of coaches corresponding to any <br> given trailing load. For 400 tons the number of coaches is [7] $\div[15]:$ | - | $(10,8)$ |
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